SUMNER COUNTY COMMISSION

355 N. Belvedere Drive
Gallatin, Tennessee 37066-5410

General Operations Committee:
Ben Harris, Chairman
J. Wes Wynne, Vice Chairman
Mary Genung
Tim Jones
David Klein
Darrell Rogers
Don Schmit

Commissioners

Terry Moss- 1

Terry Wright- 2

Mark Harrison- 3

Dillon Lamberth- 4

Darrell Rogers- 5

David Klein- 6

Danny Sullivan- 7

Baker Ring- 8

Mary Genung- 9

Benjamin A. Harris- 10

Kevin Pomeroy- 11

Deborah Holmes- 12

Terri Boyt- 13

Jamie Teachenor- 14

J. Wes Wynne- 15

Jeremy Mansfield- 16

Robert B Brown III- 17

Don Schmit- 18

Shannon Burgdorf- 19

Merrol Hyde- 20

Jerry F. Becker- 21

Matthew Shoaf- 22

Tim Jones- 23

Chrissi S. Miller- 24

AGENDA
GENERAL OPERATIONS COMMITTEE
June 1, 2026
6:00 P.M.
Ben Harris, Chairman

1. **Call to Order**
2. **Invocation**
3. **Approval of Agenda**
4. **Approval of the Minutes**
   a. **May 4, 2026 (Pages 2-18)**
5. **Recognition of the Public****
6. **Report of the Chairman**
7. **Report of Finance Director**
   a. Capital Projects and ARPA Projects Update
8. **Report of the County Mayor**
   a. Millersville EMS Station Reconfiguration
   b. Cottontown Flood Mitigation Plan Implementation
9. **Old Business**
   a. TRC Building
   b. Emergency Communications Tower Lease
   c. Brown House
10. **New Business**
    a. Surplus Inventory **(Pages 19-20)**
11. **Adjournment**

****Persons wishing to speak on agenda items or any other item relevant to the committee shall sign up for public comment on the sheet provided at the meeting immediately prior to the commencement of the meeting.**

MINUTES
GENERAL OPERATIONS COMMITTEE
BEN HARRIS, CHAIRMAN
MAY 4, 2026

Present:
Ben Harris, Chairman
J. Wes Wynne, Vice-Chairman
Dr. Mary Genung
David Klein
Tim Jones
Darrell Rogers
Don Schmit

Also Present:
John Isbell, County Mayor
Eric Sitler, Law Director
Dustin Owens, Grant Accountant
Jeremy Mansfield, County Commissioner
Jennifer Mitchell, minute taker

Chairman Harris brought the regular scheduled meeting of the General Operations Committee to order with an invocation by Comm. Wynne on Monday, May 4, 2026, at 6:15 p.m. in the Sumner County Administration Building. Chairman Harris declared a quorum present to conduct business.

3. Approval of Agenda.

Comm. Rogers moved, seconded by Comm. Wynne, to approve the agenda. The motion carried unanimously.

4. Approval of the Minutes.

a. April 6, 2026

b. April 16, 2026

Comm. Schmit moved, seconded by Comm. Genung, to group & approve the minutes from April 6, 2026, and April 16, 2026. The motion carried unanimously.

5. Recognition of the Public.

None

6. Report of Chairman.

Chairman Harris recommended dissolving the Brown House ad hoc and bring it back to the General Operations Committee.

Comm. Genung moved, seconded by Comm. Jones, to keep the Brown House ad hoc. The motion failed to carry with a vote of (3-4-0). Comm. Harris, Wynne, Rogers and Schmit voted against.

Chairman Harris stated all reports will come to General Operations from the architect and the finance department.

7. Report of Finance Director.

a. Capital Projects and ARPA Projects Update

Dustin Owens, Grant Accountant, reported on current projects. Handout with updates attached.

8. Report of the County Mayor

a. Millersville EMS Station Reconfiguration.

County Mayor John Isbell reported project is close to completion with final inspection on Friday.

b. Cottontown Flood Mitigation Plan Implementation.

Mr. Isbell reported he is waiting for information from seller to close on property.

9. Old Business.

None

10. New Business.

a. Health Department Surplus.

Comm. Wynne moved, seconded Comm. Schmit, to approve. The motion carried unanimously.

b. Brown House Ad-hoc Committee Report.

Commissioner Mansfield gave report on the Brown House. Report is attached.

Dustin Owen, Grant Accountant gave update on demolition. Correspondence attached.

c. Old Courthouse Ad-hoc Committee Report.

Comm. Jones moved, seconded by Comm. Klein, to move item 10c after item 10e.

d. TRC building 1005 Union School Road.

Without objection, Chairman Harris called for an Executive Session at 6:36 p.m. The meeting reconvened at 6:43 p.m.

No action taken.

e. Emergency Communications Tower Lease.

Removed from the agenda.

c. Ole Courthouse Ad-hoc Committee Report.

County Mayor John Isbell reported they meet each month on the fourth Thursday at 5:30 p.m. Chairman Clay Haynes recommended a comprehensive study including an assessment of the current building condition along with engagement with the community to understand the desired uses and priorities. The request is for $89,485.00 to begin evaluations and determine scope of work.

Comm. Wynne moved, seconded by Comm. Klein, to approve up to $100,000.00 for the architect and send it to the Budget Committee.

After discussion, Comm. Jones moved, seconded by Comm. Genung, to defer to the June meeting. The motion failed to carry with a vote of (2-5-0). Comm. Harris, Wynne, Klein, Rogers and Schmit voted against.

The Committee voted (5-2-0) to approve the request of up to $100,000.00 for the architect and send it to the Budget Committee.

11. Adjournment. Upon motion of Comm. Wynne and seconded by Comm. Rogers, the Committee adjourned at 7:03 p.m.

Prepared by Jennifer Mitchell

SUMNER COUNTY GOVERNMENT
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
355 North Belvedere Drive – Room 302
Gallatin, Tennessee 37066
615.451.6033

Master Projects List

Project Name	Assigned	Funding Source	Original Budget	Budget Amendments	Total Budget	Expenditures	% of Budget Expended	Estimated Completion Date	Status
Westmoreland EMS	Scott	ARPA/Capital	$ 3,738,150.60	$ 77,634.55	$ 3,815,785.15	$ 1,204,522.77	32%	6/22/2026	Steel trusses being stalled/ water proofing beginning
Hendersonville Health Department	Scott	ARPA/Grant	$ 2,270,250.00	$ -	$ 2,270,250.00	$ 1,886,209.78	83%	6/30/2026	Parking lot construction to start in the coming days, flooring in the next two weeks.
Courtroom 5C	Scott	Capital	$ 809,685.13	$ -	$ 809,685.13	$ 434,528.24	54%	7/15/2026	Ceiling and trim installed. Low voltage to fix an issue. Carpet shipping on May 8th (was delayed). Substantial completion changed due to furniture delivery
Recovery Court	Scott	Opioid	$ 575,840.00	$ -	$ 575,840.00	$ 52,000.00	9%	9/28/2026	Preconstruction meeting 5/6
Sheriff Remodel	Scott	Capital	$ 320,000.00	$ -	$ 320,000.00	$ 169,600.00	53%	TBD	Updating models and plans. Work will stop after plans are finalized and approved. Will wait to continue until construction budget is approved.
Brown House	Scott	Bequest	$ 587,611.95	$ -	$ 587,611.95	$ 7,610.00	1%	TBD	Working on review of quote for limited demo
Portland SWIG (3 projects)	Dustin	ARPA	$ 7,214,180.50	$ -	$ 7,214,180.50	$ 6,708,314.77	93%	2/1/2026	Working on Close out awaiting final invoices
Westmoreland SWIG (4 projects)	Dustin	ARPA	$ 2,417,882.50	$ -	$ 2,417,882.50	$ 1,804,901.00	75%	3/30/2026	Lake Westmoreland Rd complete; Bishop Trout complete; Bill Henson Complete; Dewey Carr to finish
Gallatin SWIG	Dustin	ARPA	$ 9,000,000.00	$ -	$ 9,000,000.00	$ 9,000,000.00	100%	1/15/2026	Prepaid; passed all audit inspections
SR258/Drakes Creek	Planning	Capital	$ 607,021.00	$ 381,725.00	$ 988,746.00	$ 293,057.24	30%	7/21/2027	No Update From Planning(Emailed 5/1)
Rolling Hills Sidewalk	Planning	Capital	$ 92,800.00	$ -	$ 92,800.00	$ 36,054.89	39%	5/11/2027	No Update From Planning(Emailed 5/1)
The Hollows Drainage Project Phase 1	Planning	ARPA/Capital	$ 748,878.00	$ -	$ 748,878.00	$ 661,615.00	88%	3/30/2026	No Update From Planning(Emailed 5/1)
Millersville Stormwater	Dustin	ARPA	$ 521,000.00	$ -	$ 521,000.00	$ 521,000.00	100%	10/31/2026	Prepaid; passed all audit inspections
ECD Broadband	Dustin	Grant	$ 100,000.00	$ -	$ 100,000.00	$ 92,441.26	92%	10/31/2026	Distributed laptops to Portland, Gallatin, and Westmoreland for their classes. Will distribute final laptops to Portland Senior Center in coming weeks

Latimer (Brown) House – Project Status Report

April 16, 2026

Project Status and Direction

The committee heard from the project architects after their recent site visit. Based on what they saw, GenOps confirmed we are moving forward with the same restoration approach that was unanimously approved back in July 2025. The goal remains the same, preserve the structure and, where it makes sense, bring it back closer to its original late 1700s to mid-1800s condition. It was also clear from the walkthrough that a number of key structural elements are still hidden behind later additions. Those areas will need to be opened up in a limited and controlled way before final design decisions can be made.

Approved Action – Phase 1

The GenOps Committee unanimously approved moving forward with selective interior demolition as Phase 1. We are not tearing the building apart. The goal is to expose only what we need to see in order to understand the condition of the logs, verify window locations, identify any structural issues, and document historic features. The exterior brick and roof will remain in place during this phase to keep the structure protected from the elements.

Procurement and Execution

The GenOps Committee also unanimously approved authorizing Chris Coker to perform the Phase 1 work, with a cost not to exceed $9,999.99, in line with county procurement policy. Keeping this work under that threshold allows us to move forward without going through a formal RFP process and avoids unnecessary delay. Work can begin since a compliant quote has already been submitted and received.

Funding Confirmation

Funding for this project has already been approved by the County Commission in the amount of approximately $587,232. Those funds remain available. No additional appropriation or Budget Committee action is required to carry out this phase of the work.

Next Steps

Once Phase 1 is complete, the architect will finalize the design based on what is actually uncovered during the interior work. From there, the next phase will move into exterior deconstruction, stabilization, and reconstruction, all based on those confirmed on-site findings and within the limits of the previously approved budget. All work will continue to follow county procurement and purchasing requirements. At the same time, coordination on site access and civil engineering work can move forward as needed.

Jeremy Mansfield, Chairman
Latimer (Brown) House Restoration Ad-Hoc Committee

Century Renovations

615-680-4321

Jeremy,

Based upon our meeting which was held on Tuesday the 21st of April, there needs to be selective demo in several area of the log hone. Thes areas will help give the architect a better understanding of how the logs were constructed and the existing condition of the logs. The demo will include removing layers of the existing wall covering in multiple areas throughout the house and the upstairs bathroom. Debris will remain inside the house until and appropriate time for a dumpster. I will have a laborer assisting me during the process. Below, you will find a price associated with the demo process...

- Labor and Materials.....$6,400.00

Thank you,

Kris Coker

Beth Browning

From:	Scott Shamrock
Sent:	Friday, May 01, 2026 8:23 AM
To:	Jeremy Mansfield; David Klein
Cc:	Beth Browning; Dustin Owens
Subject:	RE: Demo on inside of "Latimer House at the William and Mary Brown Park"

Gentlemen,

Thank you for your patience while I was out of the office over the past two days. Please see the following updates regarding the Latimer House project:

1. **Project Documentation & Review:** While the walkthrough was conducted on April 21, the necessary documentation from Kris Coker was not received until Tuesday, April 28. Due to my absence, I have not yet had the opportunity to review his quote, nor has legal. Given my current schedule of meetings (this morning/afternoon) and upcoming absences this Monday (Personal) and Tuesday (Election Day), I anticipate completing the review and having everything in order by the end of next week.

2. **Correspondence:** Regarding Commissioner Mansfield's prior inquiry, I would like to clarify that I have not received any emails on this matter other than the current thread from Commissioner Klein and this follow-up.

Please let me know if you have any questions in the meantime.

Respectfully,

Scott Shamrock
Project Manager 2
Title VI Coordinator
Sumner County Government
355 N Belvedere Drive, Rm 302
Gallatin TN 37066

From: Jeremy Mansfield <jeremy.mansfield@sumnercountytn.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2026 4:47 PM
To: David Klein <david.klein@sumnercountytn.gov>
Cc: Scott Shamrock <sshamrock@sumnercountytn.gov>
Subject: Re: Demo on inside of "Latimer House at the William and Mary Brown Park"

Thanks, David. I had reached out to Kris as well and gotten the estimate from him and emailed Scott yesterday on the status. I have not heard anything back yet.

Jeremy

Jeremy Mansfield
Sumner County Commissioner, **District 16**
615.815.0250 (mobile)
Facebook | County Commission

On Apr 30, 2026, at 4:33 PM, David Klein <david.klein@sumnercountytn.gov> wrote:

Scott,

In conversation with Kris Coker I was informed that you, the architect (Blake Daniels) and Kris Coker met out at the Latimer house on 4/21/26 to have Blake show Kris which wall, ceiling or floor covering he needed removed for inspection. Mr. Coker also gave you a price of $6,400.00 to do the work but he has not yet been given permission to commence the work.

Can you tell me where we are in this process.

Thank you in advance.

David Klein
Commission District 6
Vice Chair of the AdHoc committee for the "Latimer House at the William and Martha Brown Park"

BUDGET REQUEST

Old Courthouse Ad-Hoc Committee

Professional Services for Existing Conditions Assessment, Community Engagement, and Final Report Production

---

Submitted to the Sumner County

General Operations Committee

AT A GLANCE

Total request: $89,485

Covers: Three work streams — existing conditions assessment, community engagement, and final report production — plus administrative contingency.

Why this is the first deliverable: The General Operations Committee has asked this committee for a report with at least two options. That report cannot be credibly produced without professional input on building conditions, community preferences, and preliminary cost and funding analysis. Authorizing this budget is the prerequisite to the work being done right.

Respectfully submitted,
Clay Haynes, Chairman
Reginald Mudd, Vice Chairman

Executive Summary

The Old Courthouse Ad-Hoc Committee respectfully requests that the General Operations Committee authorize **$89,485** in professional services funding to support the committee's work. This funding will support three essential work streams: a professional existing conditions assessment of the Old Sumner County Courthouse, a structured community engagement process, and production of a final report with preliminary concepts, cost ranges, and a funding strategy for the General Operations Committee's consideration.

This is the minimum professional support needed to produce a credible recommendation. A capital project of this magnitude — realistically based on comparable national projects — cannot be recommended based on volunteer effort alone. The committee's charge is too important, the building too significant, and the taxpayer investment too large to proceed without professional input.

THE CHICKEN-AND-EGG PROBLEM

The General Operations Committee has asked this committee for a report with at least two options. A responsible report requires:

- An accurate understanding of the building's current condition and what renovation will actually cost.
- Defensible public input so recommendations reflect what Sumner County residents want.
- Professional programming, concept, and cost work so options are comparable and credible.

None of these can be produced without professional services. The committee cannot deliver the report General Operations has requested until this budget is authorized. Authorizing $89,485 now is the prerequisite to everything else.

Budget Summary

The requested $89,485 is allocated across four categories:

Line Item	Description	Amount
1. Existing Conditions	Professional building conditions assessment by a qualified preservation architect, covering building envelope, major systems, structural overview, code/ADA gaps, and preservation constraints.	$34,250
2. Community Engagement	Digital survey platform and synthesis, two public meetings, approximately 15 structured stakeholder interviews, and a summary report of findings.	$19,735
3. Final Report Production	Space programming analysis, preliminary concept diagrams, order-of-magnitude cost range, funding strategy, and production of the final report to General Operations.	$27,615
4. Committee Administration & Contingency	Meeting logistics, printing, public notice costs, and a small reserve for unforeseen needs.	$7,885
TOTAL REQUEST		$89,485

Proportionality

A $89,485 professional services budget is **less than 1% of the projected capital project cost** for a courthouse renovation of this scale. Industry standards for pre-design professional services on capital projects typically fall in the 1.5–2% range. This budget is intentionally lean and at the low end of what is typical for comparable work.

Comparable recently completed or in-progress courthouse renovations nationally:

Project	Project Cost	Scale
Cass County, MI (2024)	$9.6M	~15,000 sq. ft.
Wise County, TX (2025)	$14.2M	~20,000 sq. ft.
Howard County, MD (in progress)	$15M+	~80,000 sq. ft.
Merced County, CA (in progress)	$3.5M (exterior only)	~15,000 sq. ft.

All of these projects had professional pre-design work funded before capital investment was approved. The request before General Operations is consistent with, and more conservative than, what peer counties have invested at this stage.

Work Stream 1: Existing Conditions Assessment

Budget: $34,250

Scope of Work

Engage a qualified preservation architect (with experience on historic public buildings) to deliver a professional conditions assessment of the Old Sumner County Courthouse. The assessment will cover:

- **Building envelope:** exterior walls, roof, windows, doors, masonry, and waterproofing condition
- **Major systems overview:** HVAC, plumbing, electrical, fire protection — general condition and likely replacement needs (not full MEP engineering design)
- **Structural overview:** visible structural condition, foundation, floor systems, roof framing
- **Code and accessibility gaps:** current building code compliance, ADA accessibility, life safety
- **Historic preservation constraints:** character-defining features, Secretary of the Interior's Standards considerations
- **Deliverable:** written report with photographs, summary of findings, and priority-ranked list of needed work

Why this work stream matters

Every subsequent decision the committee makes depends on an accurate understanding of the building's condition. Cost estimates, use feasibility, timeline projections, and funding strategies are all dependent on knowing what we are actually dealing with. A volunteer committee cannot responsibly assess structural, envelope, or systems conditions.

What this scope does not include

This assessment does not include detailed MEP engineering design, full environmental (asbestos/lead) testing, structural engineering calculations, or construction documents. Those are design-phase deliverables that come after the committee's recommendation is accepted and capital funding is in place. This assessment provides the foundation for the committee's report — not the construction-ready design.

Potential offset

The Federal Historic Preservation Fund (HPF) grant program, administered in Tennessee by the Tennessee Historical Commission, provides 60% reimbursement for qualifying conditions assessments on National Register-listed properties. The Old Courthouse is a contributing structure in the Gallatin Downtown Historic District (listed since 1983), and is therefore likely eligible. If an HPF grant is awarded, as much as $20,550 of this $34,250 could be reimbursed to the county, reducing net cost to approximately $13,700. Application windows are typically January–February.

Work Stream 3: Final Report Production

Budget: $27,615

Scope of Work

Engage a consultant (likely the same preservation architect firm retained for the conditions assessment, or a related firm) to produce the final report deliverables for the General Operations Committee Deliverables include:

- **Space programming analysis:** translation of conditions assessment findings and engagement results into a defensible program of uses and square-foot allocations — how many square feet are available, which uses fit where, and what capacities are realistic
- **Preliminary concept diagrams:** schematic floor plan diagrams showing how recommended uses fit within the existing building, with written descriptions (not fully rendered architectural illustrations, which would be a later phase)
- **Order-of-magnitude cost range:** cost estimate expressed as a range (e.g., "$12–$18 million") based on square-foot benchmarks from comparable courthouse renovation projects, adjusted for Gallatin-specific conditions
- **Funding strategy:** identification of specific federal, state, local, and philanthropic funding sources for the project, including timing, eligibility, and match requirements
- **Final report document:** professionally produced final report to the General Operations Committee, presenting at least two options with comparative analysis

Why this work stream matters

The final report is the committee's primary deliverable. Its quality determines whether the General Operations Committee can confidently act on its recommendations. A report produced by volunteer effort alone — without professional programming, cost work, or funding analysis — will not meet the standard a decision of this magnitude deserves.

What this scope does not include

This budget does not include full architectural renderings, schematic design, detailed cost estimating, or construction documents. Those are design-phase deliverables that follow acceptance of the committee's recommendation and capital funding. If the committee later determines that renderings are needed for public presentation or political support, that would require supplemental authorization estimated at $14,750–$34,350.

Work Stream 2: Community Engagement

Budget: $19,735

Scope of Work

Engage an engagement consultant or qualified firm to structure and execute a public input process that produces defensible findings for the committee's report. Deliverables include:

- **Digital survey:** online survey instrument hosted on a county-accessible platform, promoted through county and city channels, Historic Downtown Gallatin, and local media; target of 500+ responses over 6–8 weeks
- **Structured stakeholder interviews:** approximately 15 one-on-one interviews with downtown property owners, Main Street businesses, the museum, the Chamber, the historical society, veterans groups, arts organizations, and other community stakeholders
- **Two public meetings:** facilitated town-hall-style public meetings (one to collect input, one to present findings and gather reaction), held at the Old Courthouse itself if conditions allow
- **Synthesis and reporting:** professional summary of findings including themes, areas of consensus, areas of disagreement, and demographic cross-tabs

Why this work stream matters

The stakeholder comments the committee has already received demonstrate the need for structured engagement. Early input reflects strong differences of opinion among downtown stakeholders — from a boutique hotel to a food hall to museum relocation to mixed-use commercial. A structured process lets the committee distinguish between widely held preferences and loud individual voices. Without it, the committee's eventual recommendation can be attacked as not reflecting real community input.

Howard County, Maryland's recently approved courthouse reuse plan followed a structured public process that collected over 600 stakeholder comments before use decisions were made. That scale is aspirational for Gallatin; the structure — survey plus interviews plus public meetings — is achievable within this budget.

What this scope does not include

This budget covers one engagement cycle. If the committee determines that additional engagement is needed later in the process (for example, to test specific concept options once developed), that would require supplemental authorization.

Work Stream 4: Committee Administration & Contingency

Budget: $7,885

Scope

This budget covers:

- **Public meeting logistics:** room setup, audio/visual, sign-in, refreshments for two public meetings
- **Printing:** committee packets, handouts, public meeting materials, final report copies
- **Public notice:** any paid legal notices required for public meetings
- **Contingency reserve:** approximately $2,835 held as reserve for unforeseen needs such as expert testimony, translation services, or supplemental consultant time

Procurement, Timeline, and Accountability

Procurement approach

All three professional services work streams will be procured in accordance with Sumner County procurement policies. Because the total committee budget is $89,485 and individual work streams range from $19,735 to $34,250, most or all engagements will likely fall below formal competitive bidding thresholds and may be procured through request-for-qualifications (RFQ) or direct contracting with qualified firms, subject to county policy.

The committee will work with county staff and the County Attorney to ensure all contracting is consistent with applicable policies and state law.

Proposed timeline

Milestone	Target Date
Budget authorization by General Operations	May/June 2026
Consultant selection (all three work streams)	July 2026
Conditions assessment fieldwork	August–September 2026
Community engagement launch (survey, interviews)	August 2026
Public meeting #1	September 2026
Conditions assessment report delivered	October 2026
Engagement findings delivered	October 2026
Draft programming and concepts	November 2026
Public meeting #2 (findings review)	December 2026
Final report to General Operations	January/February 2027

Accountability

The committee commits to the following accountability measures:

- Quarterly progress reports to the General Operations Committee, including financial status against this budget.
- Committee meetings open to the public per the Tennessee Open Meetings Act.
- All consultant deliverables made available for review by the General Operations Committee.
- Return of unspent funds to the county general fund at the conclusion of the committee's work.
- Any proposed supplemental authorization (e.g., for renderings, additional engagement, or other scope expansion) will be brought back to the General Operations Committee for separate approval.

Risk of Not Authorizing This Budget

If this budget is not authorized, the committee faces three options — none of which are acceptable

Option A: Proceed with volunteer effort alone.

The committee would attempt to produce conditions analysis, engagement findings, concept programming, cost estimates, and funding strategy using only volunteer time. The resulting report would lack professional credibility, would not be defensible against public or political scrutiny, and would expose the county to criticism for making a capital investment of this magnitude without adequate pre-design work.

Option B: Recommend without a professional basis.

The committee could skip the analysis and simply recommend a preferred use based on member judgment. This would produce a recommendation the General Operations Committee cannot responsibly act on — it would require the same professional work to be commissioned later before any capital investment could proceed, meaning a delay of months or years and a poorer process overall.

Option C: Indefinite delay.

The committee could pause its work until funding becomes available. This delays a decision the community is already waiting for, extends the period during which the building continues to deteriorate, and risks the committee losing momentum and member engagement.

THE COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION

Authorize $90,000 now. The investment is proportionate to the decision it supports. The alternative is either a flawed report or a delayed one — neither serves Sumner County.

Submitted by:

Clay Haynes, Chairman
Old Courthouse Ad-Hoc Committee

Reginald Mudd, Vice Chairman
Old Courthouse Ad-Hoc Committee

GovDeals Auction

Surplus Items - Inventory List

Department/Office: Assessor Of Property
Contact Name: John Hurt
Date: 12/30/025

Surplus Location: Admin Building
Phone: 615-452-2412
email: johnhurt@sumnercountytn.gov

Item	Brand/ Model	Working Y/N	Serial Number	Description
Monitor	Acer	Y	KA270H	
Monitor	Acer	Y	H236HL	
Monitor	Acer	Y	S230HL	
Monitor	Acer	Y	V246HL	
Monitor	Dell	Y	P2219H	
Monitor	Dell	Y	E2211H	
Monitor	Dell	Y	P2412H	
Monitor	Dell	Y	P2412H	
Monitor	Dell	Y	P2412H	
Monitor	Dell	Y	P2314H	
Monitor	HP	Y	P24H	
Monitor	HP	Y	P24H	
Monitor	HP	Y	E201	

Return to:
Steve Weiner, Rm. 303 Administration Building email: sweiner@sumnercountytn.gov

GovDeals Auction

Surplus Items - Inventory List

Department/Office: Assessor Of Property
Contact Name: John Hurt
Date: 12/30/025

Surplus Location: Admin Building
Phone: 615-452-2412
email: johnhurt@sumnercountytn.gov

Item	Brand/ Model	Working Y/N	Serial Number	Description
Monitor	HP	Y	E201	
Monitor	Samsung	Y	S24D300HL	
Monitor	Samsung	Y	S24D300HL	
Monitor	ViewSonic	Y	VA2448M	
Monitor	ViewSonic	Y	VX2260WM	
Monitor	ViewSonic	Y	VA2232WM	
Monitor	ViewSonic	Y	VA2419-SMH	
Monitor	ViewSonic	Y	VA2246M	

Return to:
Steve Weiner, Rm. 303 Administration Building email: sweiner@sumnercountytn.gov